

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT  
NOTICE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 1997-11, the Michigan Supreme Court will hold a public administrative hearing on Wednesday, September 27, 2006, in the Supreme Court courtroom located on the sixth floor of the Michigan Hall of Justice, 925 W. Ottawa Street, Lansing, Michigan 48915. The hearing will begin promptly at 9:30 a.m. and adjourn no later than 11:30 a.m. Persons who wish to address the Court regarding matters on the agenda will be allotted three minutes each to present their views, after which the speakers may be questioned by the Justices. To reserve a place on the agenda, please notify the Office of the Clerk of the Court in writing at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, Michigan 48909, or by e-mail at MSC\_clerk@courts.mi.gov, no later than Monday, September 25, 2006.

Administrative matters on the agenda for this hearing are:

1.      2003-38      Proposed Amendment of Rule 6.106 of the Michigan Court Rules  
Published at 475 Mich 1202-1204 (Part 1, 2006).  
Issue: *Whether the Court should adopt an amendment of Rule 6.106 that would incorporate new statutory requirements for setting bond in criminal nonsupport cases under MCL 750.165, as amended by 2004 PA 570.*
2.      2004-44      Proposed Amendment of Rules 3.977 and 7.210 of the  
Michigan Court Rules  
Published at 474 Mich 1216-1217 (Part 6, 2006).  
Issue: *Whether the Court should adopt an amendment of Rule 3.977 that would allow an attorney to order only those transcripts necessary for an appeal from orders terminating parental rights, and whether the Court should adopt the amendment of Rule 7.210 that would exempt appeals from orders terminating parental rights from the general requirement that the appellant order the full transcript of trial court testimony and other proceedings.*

3. 2005-04 Proposed Amendments of Rules 3.915, 3.963, 3.965, 3.966, 3.972, 3.973, 3.974, 3.975, 3.976, and 3.978 of the Michigan Court Rules  
Published at 474 Mich 1219-1228 (Part 7, 2006).  
Issue: *Whether the Court should adopt revisions of the Family Division Rules corresponding with recent amendments of the Juvenile Code as required by Title IV-E of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 675 et seq.*
4. 2005-22 Proposed Amendment of Rule 3.972 of the Michigan Court Rules.  
Published at 475 Mich 1205-1206 (Part 1, 2006).  
Issue: *Whether the Court should adopt the amendment of Rule 3.972 that would allow testimony of the child to be admitted in a child protective proceeding if the statement is offered by a person who heard the child make the statement.*
5. 2005-43 Proposed Amendment of Rule 3.929 of the Michigan Court Rules.  
Published at 475 Mich 1201-1202 (Part 1, 2006).  
Issue: *Whether the Court should adopt new Rule 3.929, which would state that filing records, as defined in MCR 3.903(A)(24), in juvenile proceedings is allowed by facsimile communication equipment, and that MCR 2.406 governs the filing of such documents.*
6. 2006-07 Proposed Amendment of Rule 7.211 of the Michigan Court Rules.  
Published at 475 Mich 1207-1209 (Part 1, 2006).  
Issue: *Whether the Court should adopt the proposed amendments that would extend the time to answer certain 7-day motions from 7 to 14 days, and would establish a new category of motions that could be decided in less than 7 days without delaying submission until the answer period has expired.*
7. 2006-08 Proposed Amendment of Rule 7.211 of the Michigan Court Rules.  
Published at 475 Mich 1215-1216 (Part 1, 2006)  
Issue: *Whether the Court should adopt the proposed amendment that would shorten the time allotted for submitting to the court a motion to withdraw as counsel for an indigent appellant in cases involving termination of parental rights. In termination of parental rights cases, the time allotted would be*

*reduced from 56 days after the appellant is served to 28 days. The 56-day deadline would be retained in all other appeals.*

8. 2006-15 Proposed Amendment of Rule 2.420 of the Michigan Court Rules.  
Published at 475 Mich 1204-205 (Part 1, 2006).  
Issue: *Whether the Court should adopt the proposed amendment of Rule 2.420, which would clarify that the payment of settlement proceeds in excess of \$5,000 be made only to a conservator on behalf of a legally incapacitated adult or a minor.*
9. 2006-17 Proposed Amendment of Rule 5.744 of the Michigan Court Rules.  
Published at 475 Mich 1206-1207 (Part 1, 2006).  
Issue: *Whether the Court should adopt the proposed amendment that would expand the scope of the rule to more accurately reflect procedures delineated in MCL 330.1474, 330.1474a, 330.1475, and 330.1475a.*